Message

From: Tanimura, Erin [Tanimura.Erin@epa.gov]

Sent: 10/13/2020 8:03:34 PM

To: Robinson, Jeffrey [Robinson.Jeffrey@epa.gov]; Garcia, David [Garcia.David@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: EtO Presidential Transition State Update.docx

Ok, got it. Would it be accurate to say:

In addition, on October 12, 2020, TCEQ submitted an Administrative Petition for Reconsideration of the MON NESHAP residual risk and technology review. We expect TCEQ to potentially request reconsideration of other recent or upcoming risk and technology review related rulemaking developed by EPA for sectors with ethylene oxide emissions based on their ESL development.

From: Robinson, Jeffrey < Robinson. Jeffrey@epa.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, October 13, 2020 2:58 PM

To: Tanimura, Erin <Tanimura.Erin@epa.gov>; Garcia, David <Garcia.David@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: EtO Presidential Transition State Update.docx

Yes, this is type of thing you may will or may see in the future.

From: Tanimura, Erin < Tanimura. Erin@epa.gov > Sent: Tuesday, October 13, 2020 2:57 PM

To: Robinson, Jeffrey < Robinson, Jeffrey@epa.gov>; Garcia, David < Garcia. David@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: EtO Presidential Transition State Update.docx

I think I saw an Administrative Petition for Reconsideration of the NESHAPs MON Residual Risk and Technology Review just come through – see attached. Would that be one of the anticipated requests for reconsideration referenced in the summary? (Would we expect others?)

Erin

From: Tanimura, Erin

Sent: Tuesday, October 13, 2020 2:44 PM

To: Robinson, Jeffrey < Robinson. Jeffrey@epa.gov>; Garcia, David < Garcia. David@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: EtO Presidential Transition State Update.docx

Thanks so much, Jeff and David!

Erin

From: Robinson, Jeffrey < Robinson. Jeffrey @epa.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, October 13, 2020 2:43 PM

To: Tanimura, Erin Tanimura, Erin@epa.gov>; Garcia, David Garcia, David@epa.gov>

Subject: EtO Presidential Transition State Update.docx